

**From:** [David Bussard](#)  
**To:** [Ravi Subramaniam](#); [Chao Chen](#)  
**Subject:** Re: ACC Bottoms Up  
**Date:** 12/03/2012 05:39 PM  
**Attachments:** [Attachment D.pdf](#)

---

Ravi,

Good guess. Attachment D does not look familiar. Either I did not see it or it was with multiple other attachments and I did not work my way through all of them.

Let me take a look, and see if I think it addresses the issue well enough.

David

▼ [Ravi Subramaniam](#)---12/03/2012 04:23:29 PM---From: [Ravi Subramaniam/DC/USEPA/US](#) To: [David Bussard/DC/USEPA/US@EPA](#)

From: [Ravi Subramaniam/DC/USEPA/US](#)  
To: [David Bussard/DC/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
Cc: [Chao Chen/DC/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
Date: 12/03/2012 04:23 PM  
Subject: Re: ACC Bottoms Up

---

David:

Your email makes me suspect either you may missed the relevant attachment I sent with our meeting Agenda OR you believe that is inadequate and that a general theoretical demonstration is needed (b) (5)

(b) (5)

If you did not read that attachment, please look at this revised one now. Would be good to have comments from you and Chao. (Converted to pdf since the word file moves the figures around every time I open it.) (I should add that one of the questions Starr had when I explained your exercise to him was whether we could actually show that with their adduct data; he was willing to accept it in theory.)

Ravi.  
NCEA-Washington, ORD, EPA  
(703) 347-8606, (301) 515-2701 (alternate office)

-----David Bussard/DC/USEPA/US wrote: -----

To: Chao Chen/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Ravi  
Subramaniam/DC/USEPA/US@EPA  
From: David Bussard/DC/USEPA/US  
Date: 12/03/2012 11:29AM  
Subject: ACC Bottoms Up

(b) (5)

David

